

University of Kragujevac

The University of Kragujevac adopted the strategy in 2015. We had only the strategy to our disposal and no accompanying documents such as an action plan with more details. This made the evaluation more general and abstract. The colleagues of Kragujevac informed us at the meeting that they did draft actions plans, but these were not available (in English) at the time of the peer review.

Where are we now?

- Self-evaluation was not concluded in the document and could be added (SWOT or other), especially given the fact that the colleagues of Kragujevac informed us that a self-evaluation was made. It would be good to include it or refer to it in the strategy.
- Kragujevac profiles themselves as “dispersed university”, turning this into an advantage

Where are they going?

- As most of the universities, the main objectives are linked to curriculum development, more projects and mobility. It could be considered to also mention topics such as branding/recruitment, regional cooperation, strategic partners, networks, I@H
- The actions listed under the 4 goals should be more concrete and formulated in a SMART way, there are no performance indicators (maybe this was done in the action plan). Putting some targets or numbers will also help monitoring the execution
- A vision for 2020 is formulated

How will they get there?

- The strategy does not provide information about the resources needed (in terms of funding and available HR) and the division of tasks between rectorate and faculties, we were told this is elaborated in the Action Plan
- Monitoring should be more concrete, it is mentioned briefly